

McKEE NELSON LLP

Scott E. Eckas (SE 7479)
Kevin J. Biron (KB 1030)
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004
Tel: (917) 777-4200
Fax: (917) 777-4299

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant
LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DEUTSCHE BANK TRUST COMPANY)
AMERICAS, as Trustee and Securities)
Intermediary,)

Plaintiff,)

- against -)

LACROSSE FINANCIAL PRODUCTS, LLC,)
CEDE & CO., as Holder of certain Secured)
Notes and nominee name of the Depository)
Trust Company, AURELIUS CAPITAL)
PARTNERS, LP, THE BANK OF N.T.)
BUTTERFIELD & SON LIMITED,)
MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION MASTER)
FUND, LTD., MAGNETAR)
CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND III,)
LTD., MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION)
FUND II, LTD., PALMER SQUARE 3)
LIMITED, PASA FUNDING 2007-1, LTD.,)
REVELSTOKE CDO I LTD., SILVER ELMS)
CDO plc, STANTON CDO I S.A., UBS)
ABSOLUTE RETURN BOND FUND, a fund)
of UBS Funds, Inc., UBS GLOBAL BOND)
FUND, a fund of UBS Funds, Inc., ZAIS)
OPPORTUNITY MASTER FUND, LTD, and)
DOES 1 through 100, owners of beneficial)
interests in the Secured Notes,)

Defendants.)

Case No.: 1:08 CV 0955 (LAK)

Honorable Lewis A. Kaplan

Electronically Filed

**ANSWER OF LACROSSE FINANCIAL PRODUCTS, LLC TO
THE AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE AND AFFIRMATIVE CLAIM TO THE
INTERPLEADER ESCROW AND FUTURE DISTRIBUTIONS OF MAGNETAR
CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND, LTD, MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION
FUND II, LTD, AND MAGNETAR CONSTELLATION MASTER FUND III, LTD**

Interpleader Defendant LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC (“LaCrosse”), by its undersigned attorneys, as and for its Answer to the Affirmative Defense and Affirmative Claim to the Interpleader Escrow and Future Distributions (the “Affirmative Claim”) asserted in the Answer (the “Magnetar Answer”) of Defendants Magnetar Constellation Master Fund, Ltd, Magnetar Constellation Fund II, Ltd, and Magnetar Constellation Master Fund III, Ltd (collectively, “Magnetar”), states as follows:

ANSWER

86. LaCrosse denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 86 of the Magnetar Answer.¹

87. Admitted. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the Indenture² and the Super Senior Facility Agreement, which speak for themselves.

88. Admitted. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the Super Senior Facility Agreement, which speaks for itself.

89. LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 89 of the Magnetar Answer. LaCrosse admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 89 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the Super Senior Facility

¹ Magnetar begins to state its Affirmative Claim in paragraph 86 of the Magnetar Answer. For the convenience of the Court and the other parties, LaCrosse has used paragraph numbers corresponding to those used by Magnetar and, accordingly, begins its response at paragraph 86.

² The Indenture is defined in the Interpleader Complaint (the “Complaint”) filed by Plaintiff Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas (the “Trustee”). Each capitalized term used but not defined herein has the meaning given to such term in the Indenture.

Agreement, which speaks for itself, and provides, *inter alia*, that, under certain circumstances, the Super Senior Counterparty is obligated to make Net Senior Credit Protection Payments to the Issuer.

90. Denied. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the Indenture, the Deed of Covenant, the Fiscal Agency Agreement, the Offering Circular and certain related transaction documents, which speak for themselves. Pursuant to the Indenture, the Deed of Covenant, the Fiscal Agency Agreement, the Offering Circular and certain related transaction documents, the Issuer issued the Secured Notes and the Subordinated Notes.

91. LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in the first sentence of paragraph 91 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the entire Indenture, which speaks for itself. Section 11.1(a) of the Indenture expressly provides that it is subject to the other clauses of Article 11 and Section 13.1 of the Indenture. LaCrosse admits the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 91 of the Magnetar Answer.

92. Admitted.

93. Denied. By way of further response, LaCrosse is the Super Senior Counterparty and constitutes the Controlling Class under the Indenture. Pursuant to the Indenture, because an Event of Default has not been cured or waived and acceleration has occurred in accordance with Article 5, all amounts payable to the Super Senior Counterparty (including, but not limited to, any Unreimbursed Senior Credit Protection Payments and deposits to the Permanent Reduction Reserve Account until the Super Senior Notional Amount is reduced to zero) must be paid in full before any further payment or distribution is made on account of the Class S Notes, the Class A Notes, the Class B Notes, the Class C Notes, the Class D-1 Notes, the Class D-2 Notes, the Class

D-3 Notes, the Class E Notes, the Class X Notes, and the Subordinated Notes (collectively, the “Subordinate Interests”).

94. No answer is required to paragraph 94 of the Magnetar Answer because it contains conclusions of law and argumentative rhetoric. To the extent any answer may be required, LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in paragraph 94 of the Magnetar Answer.

95. Denied. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the Trustee’s notice, dated November 6, 2007 (the “November 6 Trustee Notice”), directed to, *inter alia*, the Holders of the Notes and LaCrosse and, which speaks for itself.

96. No answer is required to paragraph 96 of the Magnetar Answer because it contains conclusions of law. To the extent any answer may be required, LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in paragraph 96 of the Magnetar Answer and refers to Section 5.2(a) of the Indenture, which speaks for itself.

97. Denied. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to that certain letter directed to the Trustee and dated November 6, 2007 (the “November 6 LaCrosse Letter”), which speaks for itself. Pursuant to the November 6 LaCrosse Letter, LaCrosse, pursuant to Section 5.2(a) of the Indenture, in its capacity as Super Senior Counterparty and representing holders of more than 50% of the Specified Aggregate Balance (voting together as a single class), directed the Trustee to (a) declare the principal of all the Notes to be immediately due and payable and (b) terminate the Reinvestment Period.

98. No answer is required to paragraph 98 of the Magnetar Answer because it contains conclusions of law and argumentative rhetoric. To the extent any answer may be required, LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in paragraph 98 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the entire Indenture and that certain letter directed

to the Trustee and dated November 7, 2007 (the “November 7 LaCrosse Letter”), which speak for themselves. LaCrosse is the Super Senior Counterparty and constitutes the Controlling Class under the Indenture. Pursuant to the Indenture, because an Event of Default has not been cured or waived and acceleration has occurred in accordance with Article 5, all amounts payable to the Super Senior Counterparty (including, but not limited to, any Unreimbursed Senior Credit Protection Payments and deposits to the Permanent Reduction Reserve Account until the Super Senior Notional Amount is reduced to zero) must be paid in full before any further payment or distribution is made on account of the Subordinate Interests.

99. LaCrosse denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the allegations contained in paragraph 99 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to all notices, communications and other correspondence between and among the Trustee, the Issuer, the Noteholders, LaCrosse and other transaction participants, including, but not limited to, the November 6 Trustee Notice, the November 6 LaCrosse Letter, the November 7 LaCrosse Letter and that certain letter from the Trustee to the Issuers and dated January 25, 2008 (the “January 25 Trustee Letter”), which speak for themselves.

100. Denied. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the November 7 LaCrosse Letter and the entire Indenture, which speak for themselves. LaCrosse is the Super Senior Counterparty and constitutes the Controlling Class under the Indenture. Pursuant to the Indenture, because an Event of Default has not been cured or waived and acceleration has occurred in accordance with Article 5, all amounts payable to the Super Senior Counterparty (including, but not limited to, any Unreimbursed Senior Credit Protection Payments and deposits to the Permanent Reduction Reserve Account until the Super Senior Notional Amount is reduced

to zero) must be paid in full before any further payment or distribution is made on account of the Subordinate Interests.

101. LaCrosse admits that, under the operative transaction documents, deposits made into the Permanent Reduction Reserve Account reduce the potential payment obligations of LaCrosse, as the Super Senior Counterparty, and MBIA Insurance Corporation (“MBIA”), as the Super Senior Guarantor, on a dollar-for-dollar basis. LaCrosse denies the remaining allegations contained in the first four lines of paragraph 101 of the Magnetar Answer. LaCrosse denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 101 of the Magnetar Answer.

102. No answer is required to paragraph 102 of the Magnetar Answer because it contains conclusions of law and argumentative rhetoric. To the extent any answer may be required, LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in paragraph 102 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the entire Indenture and all notices, communications and other correspondence between and among the Trustee, the Issuer, the Noteholders, LaCrosse and other transaction participants, including, but not limited to, November 6 Trustee Notice, the November 6 LaCrosse Letter, the November 7 LaCrosse Letter and the January 25 Trustee Letter, which speak for themselves.

103. No answer is required to paragraph 103 of the Magnetar Answer because it contains conclusions of law and argumentative rhetoric. To the extent any answer may be required, LaCrosse denies the allegations contained in paragraph 103 of the Magnetar Answer. By way of further response, LaCrosse refers to the entire Indenture, which speaks for itself. LaCrosse is the Super Senior Counterparty and constitutes the Controlling Class under the Indenture. Pursuant to the Indenture, because an Event of Default has not been cured or waived

and acceleration has occurred in accordance with Article 5, all amounts payable to the Super Senior Counterparty (including, but not limited to, any Unreimbursed Senior Credit Protection Payments and deposits to the Permanent Reduction Reserve Account until the Super Senior Notional Amount is reduced to zero) must be paid in full before any further payment or distribution is made on account of the Subordinate Interests.

ANSWER TO REQUEST FOR RELIEF

104. LaCrosse admits that Magnetar seeks the relief set forth in its Request for Relief contained in the “Wherefore” paragraph of the Magnetar Answer. LaCrosse specifically denies that Magnetar is entitled to any such relief or that this Court is entitled to grant such relief. LaCrosse further denies the remaining allegations contained in the Request for Relief and denies that Magnetar is entitled to any relief whatsoever.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

105. LaCrosse states the following affirmative defenses without assuming the burden of proof of such defenses that would otherwise rest on Magnetar:

FIRST DEFENSE

106. The Affirmative Claim is barred because Magnetar lacks standing to assert such a claim and is not the real party in interest.

SECOND DEFENSE

107. The allegations in the Affirmative Claim fail to state a claim to the Interpleader Escrow upon which relief may be granted.

THIRD DEFENSE

108. The Affirmative Claim is barred, in whole or in part, under the doctrines of unclean hands and *in pari delicto*.

FOURTH DEFENSE

109. The Affirmative Claim is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of estoppel, waiver, ratification, and acquiescence.

FIFTH DEFENSE

110. The Affirmative Claim is barred, in whole or in part, because Magnetar's interpretation of the Indenture would frustrate the purpose thereof.

SIXTH DEFENSE

111. Because the Affirmative Claim does not plead sufficient facts to enable LaCrosse to determine all of its applicable defenses, LaCrosse expressly reserves its right to assert any and all additional defenses once the precise nature of the claims can be ascertained.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

112. LaCrosse asserts all other affirmative defenses that may be revealed during the course of discovery.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

113. LaCrosse hereby adopts and incorporates by reference any and all other affirmative defenses asserted or to be asserted by any other defendant to the extent LaCrosse may share in or be entitled to assert such affirmative defenses

WHEREFORE, LaCrosse respectfully requests that this Court enter an order dismissing the Affirmative Claim with prejudice, awarding LaCrosse its costs, expenses, and reasonable attorneys' fees in defending against the Affirmative Claim, and granting such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York
August 18, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Scott E. Eckas

Scott E. Eckas (SE 7479)
seckas@mckeenelson.com
Kevin J. Biron (KB 1030)
kbiron@mckeenelson.com
McKee Nelson LLP
One Battery Park Plaza, 34th Floor
New York, NY 10004
Tel: (917) 777-4200
Fax: (917) 777-4299

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant
LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this date, I caused the following documents to be electronically filed and served the following documents on the parties on the Service List below by causing true and correct copies thereof to be sent via the Court's electronic filing system and/or U.S.P.S.

Mail:

- (1) Answer of LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC to the Affirmative Defenses and Claims Against the Interpleader Escrow of Aurelius Capital Partners, LP;
- (2) Answer of LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC to the Affirmative Defense and Claim Against Interpleader Escrow of the Bank of N.T. Butterfield & Son Limited;
- (3) Answer of LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC to the Affirmative Defense and Affirmative Claim to the Interpleader Escrow and Future Distributions of Magnetar Constellation Master Fund, Ltd, Magnetar Constellation Fund II, Ltd, and Magnetar Constellation Master Fund III, Ltd; and
- (4) Answer of LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC to the Affirmative Defense and Claim Against Interpleader Escrow of Revelstoke CDO I Ltd.

SERVICE LIST

NIXON PEABODY LLP
437 Madison Avenue
New York, New York 10022

Attn: Christopher M. Mason, Esq.
cmason@nixonpeabody.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Plaintiff Deutsche
Bank Trust Company Americas*

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS &
FRANKEL LLP
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036

Attn: Alan R. Friedman, Esq.
afriedman@kramerlevin.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant
Aurelius Capital Partners, LP*

BRYAN CAVE LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104

Attn: Thomas James Schell, Esq.
tjschell@bryancave.com

STRADLEY, RONON, STEVENS &
YOUNG, LLP
2600 One Commerce Square
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-7098

Attn: Keith R. Dutill, Esq.
kdutill@stradley.com
Leslie Miller Greenspan
lgreenspan@stradley.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendants UBS
Absolute Return Fund, a fund of UBS Funds,
Inc., and UBS Global Bond Fund, a fund of
UBS Funds, Inc.*

EISEMAN LEVINE LEHRHAUPT &
KAKOYIANNIS, P.C.
805 Third Avenue, 10th Floor
New York, New York 10022

Attn: Erick P. Heichel, Esq.
eheichel@ellklaw.com
Jonathan C. Marquet, Esq.
jmarquet@ellklaw.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant Cede
& Co.*

BRYAN CAVE LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10104

Attn: Eric Rieder, Esq.
erieder@bryancave.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant The
Bank of N.T. Butterfield & Son Limited and
Interpleader Defendant Revelstoke CDO I
Ltd.*

OTTERBOURG, STEINDLER, HOUSTON
& ROSEN, P.C.
230 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10169

Attn: Peter Feldman, Esq.
pfeldman@oshr.com

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendants
Magnetar Constellation Master Fund, Ltd.,
Magnetar Constellation Master Fund III,
Ltd., and Magnetar Constellation Fund II,
Ltd.*

PASA Funding 2007-1, Ltd.
c/o Ogier Fiduciary Services (Cayman)
Limited
P.O. Box 1234 GT
Queensgate House, South Church Street
George Town, Grand Cayman KY1-1108
Cayman Islands, The British West Indies
(By U.S. P.S. Mail Only)

Stanton CDO I S.A.
c/o Omicron Investment Management
GmbH
Herrengasse 1-3
1010 Vienna
Austria

(By U.S. P.S. Mail Only)

Palmer Square 3 Limited
5 Harbourmaster Place
International Financial Services Centre
Dublin 1
Ireland

(By U.S. P.S. Mail Only)

ZAIS Opportunity Master Fund, LTD
P.O. Box 1984 GT
Elizabeth Square, George Town
Grand Cayman Islands, The British West
Indies

(By U.S.P.S. Mail Only)

Silver Elms CDO plc
c/o Deutsche International Corporate
Services (Ireland) Limited
5 Harbourmaster Place
International Financial Services Centre
Dublin 1
Ireland

(By U.S. P.S. Mail Only)

Dated: New York, New York
August 18, 2008

McKee Nelson LLP

/s/ Kevin J. Biron

Scott E. Eckas (SE 7479)
Kevin J. Biron (KB 1030)
One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004
Tel: (917) 777-4200
Fax: (917) 777-4299

*Attorneys for Interpleader Defendant
LaCrosse Financial Products, LLC*